

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
-	10/540,013	06/22/2005	Jochen Knolle	2918-109	3594	
	6449 ROTHWELL.	7590 11/06/2007 FIGG, ERNST & MANE	BECK. P.C.	EXAMINER		
	1425 K STREET, N.W.			CHU, YONG LIANG		
	SUITE 800 WASHINGTO	N, DC 20005		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			·	1626		
			•	NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
				11/06/2007	ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

PTO-PAT-Email@rfem.com

		Application No.	Amplicant(a)			
		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	Office Action Summany	10/540,013	KNOLLE ET AL.	÷		
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Yong Chu	1626			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address	••		
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNIC, 36(a). In no event, however, may a repril apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTE cause the application to become ABA	ATION. Ily be timely filed HS from the mailing date of this communic NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	·		
Status	:					
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 Ju	ine 2005.				
·	This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Dispositi	ion of Claims					
5) [6) [7) [Claim(s) <u>1-87</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) <u>1-87</u> are subject to restriction and/or expressions.	vn from consideration.				
Applicati	ion Papers					
9) 10)	The specification is objected to by the Examine. The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by drawing(s) be held in abeyanc ion is required if the drawing(s	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.12			
Priority I	inder 35 II S.C. & 119	•				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
2) Notice 3) Inform	t(s) te of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) tr No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/	mmary (PTO-413) Mail Date ormal Patent Application			

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 1-87 are currently pending in the instant application and are subject to the following lack of unity requirement.

Election/Restrictions

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions, which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

Claims 1-87 are drawn to more than one inventive concept (as defined in PCT Rule 13), and accordingly, a restriction is required according to the provision of PCT Rule 13.2

PCT Rule 13.2 states that the international application shall relate to one invention only or to a group of inventions so linked as to form a general inventive concept (requirement of unity of invention).

PCT Rule 13.2 states that unity of invention referred to in Rule 13.1 shall be fulfilled only when there is a technical relationship among those inventions involving one or more of the same or corresponding special technical features.

Due to lack of core structure and the numerous and widely divergent variables in the compound of formula (I), for example A, B, C, D, Ra, L1, K, L2, R^b, R^c, R^d, R^e, t, R⁹, R², R³, R⁴, R^h, R^j, R^k, U, W, R¹⁰-R²¹, Rⁿ, R^o, Y, Z, R^x, etc., the general formula I contains various distinct special technical features, and therefore the inventions are lack

Application/Control Number: 10/540,013

Art Unit: 1626

of unity. For this reason, further restriction is required. A precise listing of inventive groups cannot be made. The following groups are exemplary, and may be subject to further restriction:

Group I: Claims 1-37 and 87 are drawn to a compound of formula (I) A-B-E-D, according to Claim 1. This group is subject to further restriction if elected.

Group II: Claims 38-84 are drawn to use of a compound of formula (I) according to Claim 1 for manufacture of a medicament. This group is subject to further restriction if elected. Applicant is remained that the "use" claim style is an E.U. claim style, and does not comply with U.S. practice. An appropriate amendment is suggested.

Group III: Claims 85-86 are drawn to a method of using the said compound for the treatment of various diseases or disorders. This group is subject for further restriction if elected.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted. The elected group is subject to further restriction.

Again, this list is not exhaustive as it would be impossible under the time constraints due to the sheer volume of subject matter instantly claimed. Therefore, applicant may choose or suggest a single by identifying another specific embodiment, i.e. another group, not listed as the exemplary groups of the invention and examiner will endeavor to group the same. Applicant also needs to elect a single compound as the elected species for initial search purpose.

Art Unit: 1626

The claims herein lack unity of invention under PCT rule 13.1 and 13.2 since, under 37 CFR 1.475(a) the compounds defined in the claims lack a significant structural element qualifying as the special technical feature evidenced by formula I' with numerous and widely divergent variables in the compound of formula (I').

Accordingly, unity of invention is considered to be lacking and restriction of the invention in accordance with the rules of unity of invention is considered to be proper.

Additionally, the vastness of the claimed subject matter, and the complications in understanding the claimed subject matter imposes a serious burden on any examination of the claimed subject matter.

New Rules on Patent Examinations

Effective November 1, 2007, if applicant wishes to present more than 5 independent claims or more than 25 total claims in an application, applicant will be required to file an examination support document (ESD) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.265 before the first Office action on the merits (hereafter "5/25 claim threshold"). See Changes to Practice for Continued Examination Filings, Patent Applications Containing Patentably Indistinct Claims, and Examination of Claims in Patent Applications, 72 Fed. Reg. 46715 (Aug. 21, 2007), 1322 Off. Gaz. Pat. Office 76 (Sept. 11, 2007) (final rule). The changes to 37 CFR 1.75(b) apply to any pending applications in which a first Office action on the merits (FAOM) has not been mailed before November 1, 2007. Withdrawn claims will not be taken into account in determining whether an application exceeds the 5/25 claim threshold. For more information on the final rule, please see http://www.uspto.gov/web/offices/pac/dapp/opla/presentation/clmcontfinalrule.html.

In response to the restriction requirement set forth in this Office action, applicant is required to file an election responsive to the restriction requirement. Applicant may not file a suggested restriction requirement (SRR) in lieu of an election responsive to the restriction requirement as a reply. A SRR alone will not be considered a *bona-fide* reply to this Office action.

If applicant elects an invention that is drawn to no more than 5 independent claims and no more than 25 total claims, applicant will not be required to file an ESD in compliance with 37 CFR 1.265 that covers each of the elected claims. If the elected invention is drawn to more than 5 independent claims or more than 25 total claims, applicant may file an amendment canceling a number of elected claims so that the elected invention would be drawn to no more than 5 independent claims and no more than 25 total claims.

If the restriction requirement is mailed <u>on or after</u> November 1, 2007, applicant is also required to file an ESD in compliance with 37 CFR 1.265 that covers each of the elected claims, unless the elected invention is drawn to no more than 5 independent claims and no more than 25 total claims taking into account any amendment to the claims. To avoid the abandonment of the application, the ESD (if required) and the election must be filed within **TWO MONTHS** from the mailing date of this Office action. The two-month time period for reply is extendable under 37 CFR 1.136.

Art Unit: 1626

If the restriction requirement is mailed <u>before</u> November 1, 2007, the election must be filed within **ONE MONTH** or THIRTY DAYS, whichever is longer, from the mailing date of this Office action. The time period for reply is extendable under 37 CFR 1.136. Furthermore, if the elected invention is drawn to more than 5 independent claims or more than 25 total claims taking into account any amendment to the claims, the Office will notify applicant and provide a time period in which applicant is required to file an ESD in compliance with 37 CFR 1.265 covering each of the elected claims or amend the application to contain no more than 5 independent elected claims and no more than 25 total elected claims.

Telephone Inquiry

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed Yong Chu whose telephone number 571-272-5759. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:00 am - 3:30 pm EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph K. M[©]Kane can be reached on (571) 272-0699. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Yong thu Ph D

Patent Examiner, AU 1626

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

REBECCA ANDERSON PRIMARY EXAMINER

Joseph K. M[©]Kane

Supervisory Patent Examiner AU 1626